

Responsible Investment Report 2025



GCCQ
GLOBAL CONCENTRATED QUALITY

Contents

1. Foreword	3
-------------	----------

2. Our ESG Investing approach	4
-------------------------------	----------

3. Active Ownership	5
---------------------	----------

4. Modern Slavery	12
-------------------	-----------

5. United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment	13
---	-----------

1. Foreword

At GCQ, our investment objective is to generate a return of 8–12% p.a. net of fees over a market cycle. Our concentrated portfolio of approximately 20 companies represents a selection of the world's best businesses.

The foundation of our investment process is industry analysis, targeting industries with substantial and enduring barriers to entry. These industries are typically monopolies, duopolies or oligopolies. We concentrate our efforts on about 20 industries, encompassing around 200 companies that we thoroughly research and monitor for performance and valuation.

We prefer investing in companies with growth potential that extends beyond a single geographic market. The vast majority of companies we analyse are global operators experiencing worldwide growth.

Our focus is on businesses that deliver long-term prosperity. This requires a long-term perspective on the relationship between investment, the economy, environment and our society.

Therefore, when selecting industries to focus on, we exclude those with material environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks.

We explicitly incorporate consideration of ESG risks into investment decision-making and, as active owners in the companies in which we invest, we constructively advocate for positive ESG changes, guiding our investments towards a sustainable future.

This marks GCQ's second Responsible Investment Report, and we are pleased to have made steady progress in strengthening our sustainability framework in the last year. Key developments include; the appointment of a member of the GCQ team with responsibility for ESG reporting, formalising a voluntary commitment to publish a Modern Slavery Report for the 2025 calendar year and the completion of our first full year of proxy voting participation, aided by the establishment of a formal proxy voting policy. We view these initiatives as an important step forward and remain committed to enhancing and improving the structure of our Responsible Investment framework.

I look forward to reporting on our continued progress in years to come.

David Symons
Chief Executive Officer
November 2025

2. Our ESG Investing Approach

We believe that responsible investment factors can affect the performance of investments and the existence of significant Environmental, Social or Governance issues is likely to lead to reduced earnings sustainability. Earnings sustainability is one of the hallmarks of a “quality” company that qualifies it to be considered for inclusion in the GCQ investment strategy.

Our approach to ESG in the investment process involves:

a) Screening out companies that are assessed to have an unacceptable level of ESG risk;

We exclude from our investment universe companies operating in a number of industries that are judged to be either socially undesirable or carry unacceptable environmental risk. The list of exclusions currently includes companies with products connected to:

- Tobacco;
- Gambling;
- Cluster munitions;
- Child Labour;
- Thermal Coal; and
- Precious metals mining.

b) Identifying all significant ESG issues relating to each portfolio company and any company being considered for inclusion in the portfolio.

This work is undertaken by the investment team and, once identified, an assessment is made of the significance of each issue for a) the long-term sustainability of the business and b) for valuation. The outcome of this review is then used to answer two ESG-related questions on the GCQ Business Quality Checklist™.

These checklist questions require the investment team to make an assessment of whether 1) corporate governance is friendly to minority shareholders, and 2) the company is free from major environmental and social issues that threaten long-term business sustainability. Any company that is identified as having major ESG issues is automatically excluded from further consideration.

c) Review by the GCQ CEO of the investment team's assessment of the expected impact of ESG issues on earnings sustainability of businesses that are being considered for inclusion in the portfolio but that third party analysts have identified as having heightened ESG risks.

One indicator that a business model may not be sustainable is a high ESG risk rating assigned by an ESG research agency. Therefore, if any portfolio company – or company that is being considered for inclusion in the portfolio – has a High (>30) risk rating assigned by Sustainalytics, the investment team's analysis of ESG issues will be the subject of review by the GCQ CEO to independently assess whether 1) ESG-related risks are likely to impact the long-term sustainability of the business, and 2) whether ESG risks have been appropriately incorporated into the GCQ valuation.

3. Active Ownership

By actively engaging with portfolio companies, we seek to influence the management of material issues that can impact long-term returns.

Areas that may be the focus of engagement will vary from year to year and include:

- a) *Alignment with long-term objectives* – we expect companies to create and enhance value for the long term while appropriately balancing their best interests with those of shareholders and stakeholders.
- b) *Identification and management of material issues* – we expect companies to act in a responsible way. This includes identifying and managing material risks. Assessment of risks and opportunities should consider financial, regulatory, operational, reputational and legal impacts.
- c) *Strong governance and accountability* – we expect companies to have effective systems and processes in place to ensure clear accountability for strategy implementation, risk management and company performance.
- d) *Preserving a social license to operate* – we understand that an entity's social license to operate is a valuable intangible asset. It is founded by the trust a company has earned with its stakeholders. We expect companies to effectively engage with stakeholders to maintain this social license to operate.
- e) *Transparency and adequate disclosures* – as shareholders, we require transparent and meaningful disclosure from companies for informed decision-making. Companies should publicly disclose all information that is or is expected to be material in the long term, in a timely and complete manner, including any environmental, social and governance issues.
- f) *Meaningful plan to achieve net-zero carbon emissions* – as shareholders, we expect all companies to do their part to achieve net-zero carbon emissions across their operations within a reasonable timeframe. This may require investing in carbon-free energy and collaborating with partners to achieve a broader impact.

During the past year, our active ownership initiatives have once again been focused on making a climate risk assessment for all portfolio companies.

The purpose of the assessment is to understand whether 1) there is material adverse risk to the business' future cash flows; and 2) the company is a good corporate citizen.

The core question we have sought to answer in our climate risk assessment is whether a portfolio company's business model is consistent with the world's transition to net-zero emissions by 2050. As stipulated in the Paris Agreement the transition to net-zero is required to limit global warming to 1.5°C.

We answer this question through identifying whether 1) our portfolio company has a target to reach net-zero carbon emissions by 2050; 2) the target is credible; and 3) the company provides regular carbon emissions data which enables our team to track progress towards achievement of these

targets. Our assessment is focused on a company's scope 1 and 2 emissions because these are a function of activities which are directly under management's control.

Over the last two years, we have engaged with portfolio companies which do not have a formal net-zero target to understand their rationale and encourage them to initiate a target if we believe it is appropriate to do so.

Net-Zero Targets

We currently hold investments in 19 companies in the GCQ Flagship Fund. We are pleased that 14 companies within our portfolio have a commitment to reach net-zero emissions by 2050, in line with the goal of the Paris Agreement. Out of these 14 companies, 10 have set an earlier timeframe for achieving this objective (see table below).


This year, Amazon stands out as the company with the greatest emissions intensity in our portfolio. While Amazon has a stated goal to achieve net zero by 2040, the target is more controversial than most others announced by our portfolio companies as the targets have not been validated by the SBTi (Science Based Target initiative) despite the company having made a commitment to the SBTi in 2020 to submit science-based emissions reduction targets as part of its net-zero goal. In August 2023 SBTi's dashboard changed Amazon's status to "Commitment Removed" because it failed to submit its validated targets within the timeframe required under SBTi's policy.

We are engaged in ongoing work to understand and monitor the significance of the SBTi's stance on Amazon's net zero targets. Amazon has said that "since then, requirements for submission changed, and new methodologies have begun to be developed" and that the business model makes it "difficult to submit ... in a meaningful and accurate way." One key issue appears to be around Scope 3 emissions (i.e., upstream and downstream value-chain emissions) and the completeness of Amazon's target coverage. In a business of the scale and complexity of Amazon we have sympathy for what a challenging task this must be!

Importantly, Amazon has not abandoned its climate goals — Amazon states it remains engaged with SBTi and also the broader initiative it co-founded (The Climate Pledge) — but the validation and "approved" status via SBTi is no longer present.

At a minimum, the absence of SBTi's endorsement means that there are questions of comparability between Amazon's targets with peers that *do* have validated targets.

Over the last two years, we have communicated with each of the five companies that have not announced a net-zero target to understand their rationale for their position. Out of these 5 companies we note that 2 companies have an interim emissions reduction target. Interim targets – under which companies aim to eliminate a portion of their emissions by a particular date – show that even in the absence of formal net-zero targets, businesses are taking meaningful steps towards long term sustainability.




Notes: 1) Companies are sorted into categories based on the year in which they target reaching net-zero.

Credible Emissions Reductions Targets

We assess whether a company's net-zero emissions target is credible through understanding the size of a company's scope 1 and 2 emissions, the source of these emissions and judging whether a company's initiatives to abate those emissions are likely to be effective.

GCQ Portfolio Emissions Intensity by Company

(tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per US\$m of revenue)



Source: Company disclosures, GCQ Funds analysis. Notes: 1) Reflects scope 1 and 2 emissions; and 2) FICO does not disclose its scope 1 and 2 carbon emissions. We estimate that FICO's scope 1, 2 and 3 carbon emissions are de minimis given it is data/software business.

Most businesses in the portfolio have very limited carbon emissions intensity (measured as tonnes of carbon emissions per US\$m of revenue). This is a function of GCQ's focus on owning high-quality businesses which tend to be asset light and highly scalable.

Our portfolio companies' stock of scope 1 and 2 emissions are largely attributable to 1) internal-combustion engine powered company vehicles used by employees; and 2) electricity purchases from the grid which are used for a company's internal operations e.g., manufacturing or data centres.

The key initiatives being employed by a number of portfolio companies to abate these emissions over time are:

1. shift the fleet of petrol-powered company owned vehicles to electric vehicles (addresses most scope 1 emissions); and
2. procure renewable electricity (addresses most of the scope 2 emissions).

Examples of initiatives undertaken by portfolio companies include:

- Amazon is targeting to have at least 100,000 electric delivery vans in their transportation network by 2030. The company has been making strong progress towards this target. In 2024, Amazon utilised ~31,400 electric delivery vehicles, up 65% from 2023. If Amazon can continue this trajectory, they will be well-placed to meet their goal.
- Richemont (owner of jewellery brands Cartier and Van Cleef and Arpels) is aiming to source

100% of its electricity from renewable sources by the end of December 2025. Richemont has made very strong progress towards this target with ~98% of electricity consumed currently being derived from renewable sources (primarily hydropower). The company has undertaken various projects to contribute to achieving this target. For example, Cartier shifted the electricity purchased from one of its manufacturing facilities to entirely renewable sources through 1) installation of 3,800 square metres of solar panels on the roof of the facility; and 2) utilising the energy from a hydroelectric power station located near the facility, in which Cartier is invested.

Amazon and WD-40 are two companies which have a large carbon footprint relative to other companies in the GCQ portfolio.

WD-40's relatively large carbon footprint is driven by the 1) content of its products – represents up to 30% of the company's greenhouse gas emissions; and 2) energy intensity of its manufacturing operations – this is captured in the stock of scope 3 emissions as WD-40 outsources the manufacturing of its products to third-parties. The content of WD-40's products is a key contributor to emissions as the product is crude-oil based. Mitigating the emissions impact of crude-oil based content would involve sourcing alternate materials to petroleum as the base chemical. WD-40 has been conducting research in this area for the last 15 years. The most prospective option is a plant-based formula. Reducing manufacturing-driven emissions relies on third-party manufacturers increasing their use of renewable energy to power their operations.

Since our last update we were pleased to see WD-40 announce their inaugural carbon emissions reduction targets which consist of 1) 50% reduction in scope 1 and 2 carbon emissions; and 2) 10–20% reduction in scope 3 emissions by 2030. We believe these targets are ambitious and will require strong engagement between WD-40 and its supplier base. Management is confident in their ability to achieve these targets, with the company's internal research having found multiple opportunities that could yield a 50% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions intensity.

Amazon's large transportation network (moving goods over sea, air and road), which is used to facilitate its e-commerce business, is the key driver of its relatively large emissions footprint. The strategies to mitigate these emissions are similar to those being used by other portfolio companies – albeit at larger scale. One example is Amazon's recent elimination of plastic air pillows in its packaging, which have been replaced with recyclable paper. This shift supports the company's efforts to reduce plastic waste and lower its packaging-related carbon footprint, a key focus area within Amazon's larger sustainability strategy. Innovation is critical in addressing single use plastic at such a large scale and we look forward to further developments around Amazon's testing of plastic alternatives.

Engagement

Five of our portfolio companies – Richemont, Money Forward, Freee, Fair Isaac Corporation (FICO) and Ferrari – do not have a net-zero emissions footprint reduction target. We have had incremental conversations with FICO and Ferrari this year to understand their rationale for not setting a net-zero target (we have previously discussed this issue with Richemont, Money Forward and Freee). The key takeaways were:

- **FICO:** The nature of FICO's economic activities – sale of data and software – results in it having a small carbon footprint. The company has chosen not to set emissions reduction targets (interim and net-zero) to date, as climate reporting places an undue burden on the company's small team ("it would take a lot of cost and effort to ensure accurate compliance"). The management team are actively monitoring regulations as they pertain to mandatory reporting obligations and are prepared to ensure compliance.

- **Ferrari:** The management team of Ferrari have committed to a 90% reduction in scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2030. The company has not formally committed to a net-zero target as the reporting methodology of SBTi prevents them from doing so unless they eliminate the manufacture of vehicles with internal combustion engines. We are comfortable with this given elimination of all internal combustion engine powered vehicles is not congruent with Ferrari's business plan. Over the five years to 2030, per management's most recent business plan, ~80% of Ferrari's new model releases will be powered by a hybrid or pure internal combustion engine. Further, Ferrari note they are working on sustainable fuels to power their vehicles as a solution to this issue.

Voting

Exercising our right to vote is one way in which we seek to further our clients' best long-term economic interests. We understand the importance of voting in influencing corporate governance and ensuring the alignment of management decisions in supporting long-term shareholder value. As such we are active participants, which means we vote only FOR or AGAINST rather than abstaining on any resolution.

Our Proxy voting policy is available on our website, which can be accessed. It is reviewed each year to ensure it remains current for any changes in regulations or standards.

We make independent decisions when voting, and do not use the services of a proxy adviser.

4. Modern Slavery

Modern slavery is considered an egregious violation of human rights and affects millions of people worldwide. *The Australian Modern Slavery Act 2018 (the Act)** defines modern slavery as including eight types of serious exploitation: trafficking in persons; slavery; servitude; forced marriage; forced labour; debt bondage; deceptive recruiting for labour or services; and the worst forms of child labour.

GCQ believes that organisations have a responsibility to consider their role in ensuring the respect of human rights and the avoidance of human rights abuses.

GCQ recognises that every business has the potential to inadvertently cause, contribute to, or be directly linked to modern slavery through its operations and supply chains. GCQ acknowledges that modern slavery risks may be present in:

- the supply chain of service providers used by GCQ to manage its operations and funds, and
- the underlying companies that GCQ invests in and their extended supply chains.

GCQ is not required to report under the Commonwealth Modern Slavery Act 2018. However, we are opting to move to annual voluntary reporting of a Modern Slavery Statement under the Act, for the 2025 calendar year. We believe in ongoing engagement regarding the identification and mitigation of modern slavery risk within our supply chain and our portfolio companies. We continue to strive to make a positive contribution towards the reduction of human rights abuses and look forward to publishing our Modern Slavery Report in the first half of 2026.

GCQ's Modern Slavery Statement is available on our website [here](#), and demonstrates our approach to modern slavery risk in advance of the publication of our formal Modern Slavery Report.

5. United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment

GCQ is a signatory to the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (the Principles).

The Principles state:

As institutional investors, we have a duty to act in the best long-term interests of our beneficiaries. In this fiduciary role, we believe that environmental, social, and corporate governance (ESG) issues can affect the performance of investment portfolios (to varying degrees across companies, sectors, regions, asset classes and through time). We also recognise that applying these Principles may better align investors with broader objectives of society. Therefore, where consistent with our fiduciary responsibilities, we commit to the following:

- *Principle 1: We will incorporate ESG issues into investment analysis and decision-making processes.*
- *Principle 2: We will be active owners and incorporate ESG issues into our ownership policies and practices.*
- *Principle 3: We will seek appropriate disclosure on ESG issues by the entities in which we invest.*
- *Principle 4: We will promote acceptance and implementation of the Principles within the investment industry.*
- *Principle 5: We will work together to enhance our effectiveness in implementing the Principles.*
- *Principle 6: We will each report on our activities and progress towards implementing the Principles.*

The Principles for Responsible Investment were developed by an international group of institutional investors reflecting the increasing relevance of environmental, social and corporate governance issues to investment practices. The process was convened by the United Nations Secretary-General.

In signing the Principles, we as investors publicly commit to adopt and implement them, where consistent with our fiduciary responsibilities. We also commit to evaluate the effectiveness and improve the content of the Principles over time. We believe this will improve our ability to meet commitments to beneficiaries as well as better align our investment activities with the broader interests of society. We encourage other investors to adopt the Principles.



Contact

Level 14, 167 Macquarie Street
Sydney NSW 2000, Australia

PH + 61 (2) 7252 9124
contact@gcqfunds.com

www.gcqfunds.com

DISCLAIMER

Equity Trustees Limited ("Equity Trustees") (ABN 46 004 031 298), AFSL 240975, is the Responsible Entity for the GCQ Flagship Fund. Equity Trustees is a subsidiary of EQT Holdings Limited (ABN 22 607 797 615), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX: EQT). Australian Securities Exchange (ASX: EQT). This report has been prepared by GCQ Funds Management Pty Ltd ACN 654 864 767 (Investment Manager) (AFS licence number 538513) to provide you with general information only. In preparing this report, we did not take into account the investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any particular person. It is not intended to take the place of professional advice and you should not take action on specific issues in reliance on this information. Neither the Investment Manager, Equity Trustees nor any of its related parties, their employees or directors, provide any warranty of accuracy or reliability in relation to such information or accepts any liability to any person who relies on it. Past performance should not be taken as an indicator of future performance. You should obtain a copy of the Product Disclosure Statement and Target Market Determination before making a decision about whether to invest in this product. GCQ Flagship Fund's Target Market Determination is available here (<https://www.eqt.com.au/corporates-and-fundmanagers/fund-managers/institutional-funds/institutional>). A Target Market Determination is a document which is required to be made available from 5 October 2021. It describes who this financial product is likely to be appropriate for (i.e. the target market), and any conditions around how the product can be distributed to investors. It also describes the events or circumstances where the Target Market Determination for this financial product may need to be reviewed.